CMARLES B GORDON
THOMAS P. SCHILLER
DAVID B DEIDMA
JOSEPH J. CORSO
HOWARD G. SHIMOLA
JEFFREY J. SOFKO
JAMES M. MOORE
MICHAEL W. GARVEY
RICHARD A. SHAMPE
RONALD M. KACHMARIK
PAUL A. SERBIHOWSKI
SERBIHOWSKI
SERBIHOWSKI
SERBIHOWSKI
AUGUST
RICHARD A. SHAMPE
RONALD M. FISHMAN
ROBERT F. BODI

PATENT, TRADEMARK, COPYRIGHT AND RELATED INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW

PEARNE & GORDON LLP

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

1801 EAST 9TH STREET SUITE 1200 CLEVELAND, OHIO 44(14-3)(08)

Tel.: +1 (216) 579-1700 FAX: +1 (216) 579-6073 EMAIL: ip@pearne.com DEBORAH L. CORPUS
ATAMON N. RUSSELL, PH.D.
DONALD J. FIRCA
DONALD J. FIRCA
STEVEN J. SCILOMON
GREGORY D. FERNENDEL
BRAD C. SPENDER, P.E.
BRAD C. SPENDER,

CHRISTOPHER P. DEMAS

January 2, 2008

Mail Stop Certificate of Corrections Branch Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Re: U.

U.S. Patent No.: 7,267,847 B2

Issued: September 11, 2007

Title: "HYDROPHOBIC COATING OF INDIVIDUAL COMPONENTS OF

HEARING AID DEVICES"

Inventors: Erdal Karamuk et al.

Our Docket No.: TSW-36348

Sir/Madam:

A Certificate of Correction under 35 U.S.C. 254 is hereby requested to correct an error in the above-identified patent. Enclosed herewith is a proposed Certificate of Correction (Form No. PTO-1050) for consideration along with the appropriate documentation supporting the request for correction

It is requested that the Certificate of Correction be completed and mailed at an early date to the undersigned attorney of record. The proposed correction is an obvious one and does not in any way change the sense of the application.

We understand that a check is not required since the error was on the part of the Patent and Trademark Office in printing the patent.

Respectfully submitted,

By Michael W. Garvey, Reg. No. 35878

MWG/jmm Enclosure U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unriess it displays a valid OMB control number.

(Also Form 1970-1950)

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION

PATENT NO. : 7,267,847	Page1_ of1_
APPLICATION NO.: 10/749,291	
ISSUE DATE : September 11, 2007	
INVENTOR(S) : Erdal Karamuk et al.	ï
It is certified that an error appears or errors appear in the above-identified patent and ti s hereby corrected as shown below: On the cover page:	hat said Letters Patent
Item (75), Inventor, please insert the following 2 inventors:	
Stefan Launer, Zurich (CH)	
Michael Mathey, Jona (CH)	1
	•
	,
	·

MAILING ADDRESS OF SENDER (Please do not use customer number below):

Michael W. Garvey, Pearne & Gordon LLP

1801 East 9th Street, Suite 1200

Cleveland, Ohio 44114-3108

This collection of information is required by 70 CRT 3.22, 1.323, and 1.324. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public violatile to find only the USETO to proceed any object of the CRT 4.2. This collection is entermined by the USETO CRT 4.4. This collection is entermined by 10 to 0 to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USETO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any origination of the complete application form to the USETO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any origination of the complete application for reducing the burder, should be seen to the CRT fill introduced CRT and any origination of the CRT and any origination or the CRT and any origina



UNITED STATES I ATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Sox 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

 APPLICATION NO.
 ISSUE DATE
 PATENT NO.
 ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
 CONFIRMATION NO.

 107/49,291
 09/11/2007
 7267847
 36348
 9807

116 7590 08/22/2007
PEARNE & GORDON LLP
1801 EAST 9TH STREET
SUITE 1200
CLEVELAND, OH 44114-3108



ISSUE NOTIFICATION

The projected patent number and issue date are specified above.

Determination of Patent Term Adjustment under 35 U.S.C. 154 (b) (application filed on or after May 29, 2000)

The Patent Term Adjustment is 561 day(s). Any patent to issue from the above-identified application will include an indication of the adjustment on the front page.

If a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) was filed in the above-identified application, the filing date that determines Patent Term Adjustment is the filing date of the most recent CPA.

Applicant will be able to obtain more detailed information by accessing the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) WEB site (http://pair.uspto.gov).

Any questions regarding the Patent Term Extension or Adjustment determination should be directed to the Office of Patent Legal Administration at (571)-272-7702. Questions relating to issue and publication fee payments should be directed to the Customer Service Center of the Office of Patent Publication at (571)-272-4200.

APPLICANT(s) (Please see PAIR WEB site http://pair.uspto.gov for additional applicants):

Erdal Karamuk, Zurich, SWITZERLAND; Stefan Launer, Zurich, SWITZERLAND; Michael Mathey, Jona, SWITZERLAND;



Application/Control Number: 10/749,291

Art Unit: 1762

DETAILED ACTION

In view of the papers filed 1 August 2005, it has been found that this nonprovisional application, as filed, through error and without deceptive intent, improperly set forth the inventorship, and accordingly, this application has been corrected in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(a). The inventorship of this application has been changed by adding the names of Stefan Launer and Michael Mathey

The application will be forwarded to the Office of Initial Patent Examination (OIPE) for issuance of a corrected filing receipt, and correction of Office records to reflect the inventorship as corrected.

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-8, drawn to a process, classified in class 418, subclass 569.
 - Claims 9-12, drawn to a product made, classified in class 220, subclass 4.02.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions in groups I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process could be used to make other materially different products that are not electrical device housings.